Image credit : NASA, ESA, and C.R. O'Dell

ULB

FREEDOM TO RESEARCH

# The intermediate neutron capture process in AGB stars

Arthur Choplin Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

#### **Collaborators**

Siess L. (ULB), Goriely S. (ULB), Martinet S. (ULB), Van Eck, S. (ULB), Giribaldi, R. (Firenze), Karinkuzhi, D. (University of Calicut, India), Merle, T. (ULB), Jorissen, A. (ULB), Ingeberg, V. W. (University of Oslo, Norway), Markova, M. (University of Oslo, Norway), Larsen, A. C. (University of Oslo, Norway)

NPA XI, 15 - 20 September 2024

Neutron capture processes: slow, intermediate, rapid



1

## Neutron capture processes: slow, intermediate, rapid





# The i-process is a recent and growing topic





 i-process can happen when Hydrogen is mixed proton into a convective Helium-burning zone ingestion







 i-process can happen when Hydrogen is mixed proton into a convective Helium-burning zone ingestion







- i-process can happen when Hydrogen is mixed proton into a convective Helium-burning zone ingestion
- Observational motivation : some stars are neither « s », nor « r » —> i-process stars ? Jonsell+2006, Mishenina+2015, Roederer+2016, Caffau+2019, Karinkuzhi+2021,2023, Hansen+2023 ...

-> talks by S. Van Eck, A. Skúladóttir





### • Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars + super AGB

Fujimoto+2000, Iwamoto+2004, Siess+2007, Campbell+2008, Lau+2009, Suda+2010, Stancliffe+2011, Cristallo+2009,2016, Jones+2016, Choplin+2021,2022,2024, Goriely+2021, Gil-Pons+2022. Remple+2024...

#### Accreting white dwarfs

Denisenkov+2017,2019,2021, Piersanti+2019, Stephens+2021 ...





### Low metallicity massive stars

Pignatari+2015, Banerjee+2018, Clarkson+2018,2020...

## • Core Helium flash at low metallicity





Post-AGB stars (late thermal pulse)

Herwig+2001, Miller Bertolami 2006, Herwig+2011...







### • Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars + super AGB

Fujimoto+2000, Iwamoto+2004, Siess+2007, Campbell+2008, Lau+2009, Suda+2010, Stancliffe+2011, Cristallo+2009,2016, Jones+2016, Choplin+2021,2022,2024, Goriely+2021, Gil-Pons+2022, Remple+2024...

#### Accreting white dwarfs

Denisenkov+2017,2019,2021, Piersanti+2019, Stephens+2021 ...

Credits: ESA and Justyn Maund



## • Low metallicity massive stars

*Pignatari+2015, Banerjee+2018, Clarkson+2018,2020...* 

# • Core Helium flash at low metallicity





Fujimoto+1990, Schlattl+2001, Campbell+2010, Cruz+2013...

• Post-AGB stars (late thermal pulse) Herwig+2001, Miller Bertolami 2006, Herwig+2011...

-> talk by F. Herwig





### • Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars + super AGB

Fujimoto+2000, Iwamoto+2004, Siess+2007, Campbell+2008, Lau+2009, Suda+2010, Stancliffe+2011, Cristallo+2009,2016, Jones+2016, Choplin+2021,2022,2024, Goriely+2021, Gil-Pons+2022, Remple+2024...

—> end of life of ~ 0.8 - 8 M<sub>☉</sub> stars
—> strong stellar outflows / winds
—> complex interplay between nucleosynthesis and mixing

#### **Reviews on AGB**

- Busso+1999, ARA&A
- Herwig 2005, ARA&A
- Karakas+2014, PASA







### • Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars + super AGB

Fujimoto+2000, Iwamoto+2004, Siess+2007, Campbell+2008, Lau+2009, Suda+2010, Stancliffe+2011, Cristallo+2009,2016, Jones+2016, Choplin+2021,2022,2024, Goriely+2021, Gil-Pons+2022, Remple+2024...

- $\longrightarrow$  end of life of ~ 0.8 8 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> stars  $\longrightarrow$  strong stellar outflows / winds
- —> complex interplay between nucleosynthesis and mixing

--> **ongoing** heavy element nucleosynthesis (because **Tc** is present) *Merrill 1952, ...* 

#### **Reviews on AGB**

- Busso+1999, ARA&A
- Herwig 2005, ARA&A
- Karakas+2014, PASA







### • Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars + super AGB

Fujimoto+2000, Iwamoto+2004, Siess+2007, Campbell+2008, Lau+2009, Suda+2010, Stancliffe+2011, Cristallo+2009,2016, Jones+2016, Choplin+2021,2022,2024, Goriely+2021, Gil-Pons+2022, Remple+2024...

—> end of life of ~ 0.8 - 8 M<sub>☉</sub> stars
—> strong stellar outflows / winds
—> complex interplay between nucleosynthesis and mixing

—> ongoing heavy element nucleosynthesis (because Tc is present)

Merrill 1952, ...



#### **Reviews on AGB**

- Busso+1999, ARA&A
- Herwig 2005, ARA&A
- Karakas+2014, PASA



# Stellar evolution modelling





# Stellar evolution modelling





### Structure evolution of an AGB star







# Structure evolution of an AGB star schematic view

The s-process











schematic view



schematic view



schematic view

# Mass



schematic view

# Mass





# Fluxes of some reactions during proton ingestion



<sup>12</sup>C(*p*, γ)<sup>13</sup>N  ${}^{12}C(n,\gamma){}^{13}C$  ${}^{13}C(p,\gamma){}^{14}N$ <sup>13</sup>C(α, n)<sup>16</sup>O  ${}^{14}C(p,\gamma){}^{15}N$ <sup>13</sup>N(β<sup>+</sup>)<sup>13</sup>C  $^{13}N(n,p)^{13}C$  $^{14}N(n,p)^{14}C$  $^{15}N(p,\alpha)^{12}C$  ${}^{16}O(n,\gamma){}^{17}O$  $^{17}O(n,\alpha)^{14}C$  $^{17}O(p,\alpha)^{14}N$ 









# i-process flow at the bottom of the thermal pulse **Production of actinides**

 $[Fe/H] = -2.5, N_{n,max} = 2.2 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 1 M⊙, Main path Cf Secondary paths Βk Cm 🗌 Stable / long-lived isotopes Am Pu

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)
# i-process flow at the bottom of the thermal pulse Production of actinides

 $1 M_{\odot}$ , [Fe/H] = -2.5, N<sub>n,max</sub> = 2.2 x  $10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>



# i-process flow at the bottom of the thermal pulse Production of actinides

 $1 M_{\odot}$ , [Fe/H] = -2.5, N<sub>n,max</sub> = 2.2 x  $10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>



*Choplin,+2022* 

# i-process flow at the bottom of the thermal pulse Production of actinides

 $1 M_{\odot}$ , [Fe/H] = -2.5, N<sub>n,max</sub> = 2.2 x  $10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> 100 -Main path Cf Secondary paths Bk - 10<sup>-2</sup> Cm 🗌 Stable / long-lived isotopes 95 Am Number of protons Pu Np  $-10^{-4}$ Pa 90. Th Ac Ra  $+10^{-6} \times$ Fr Rn 85 -At Po 10<sup>-8</sup> Bi Pb 80 - $-10^{-10}$ r-process may not be the only producer of actinides 75 120 125 130 135 140 145 150 155 160

Number of neutrons

Choplin,+2022

## At what mass and metallicity does H-ingestion / i-process occur ?





















Nucleosynthetic yields of AGB experiencing H-ingestion (with overshoot)









# i-process models vs. observed « i-stars »

 Some stars are neither « s », nor « r ». They are compatible with i-process models







Ζ

# i-process models vs. observed « i-stars »

 Some stars are neither « s », nor « r ». They are compatible with i-process models



Choplin+2024

(AGB model)

Zn

30

40

Ζ

50

60

70

20

10

3

2

0

obs

pom

[X/Fe]





 $f_{iso} = \frac{Abundance of isotope}{Total mass of element}$ 



 $f_{iso} = \frac{Abundance of isotope}{Total mass of element}$ 







# The i-process in AGB stars : summary

- i-process ( $N_n \sim 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) when protons are ingested in a convective He-burning zone —> it can happen in AGB stars
- Overshoot [OFF] —> H-ingestion / i-process in AGB with M < 3  $M_{\odot}$  , [Fe/H] < -2
- Overshoot **[ON]** —> facilitates proton ingestion (up to ~ solar metallicity)
- Actinides (Th and U) can be produced by the i-process
- i- and s-process (radiative & convective) can develop in the same AGB
- i-process chemical signature is small at [Fe/H] > -1 (in AGBs)
- Growing evidence of the existence of (low-metallicity) i-process stars

   -> isotopic ratios ?

# The i-process in AGB stars : summary

- i-process ( $N_n \sim 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) when protons are ingested in a convective He-burning zone —> it can happen in AGB stars
- Overshoot [OFF] —> H-ingestion / i-process in AGB with M < 3  $M_{\odot}$  , [Fe/H] < -2
- Overshoot [ON] —> facilitates proton ingestion (up to ~ solar metallicity)
- Actinides (Th and U) can be produced by the i-process
- i- and s-process (radiative & convective) can develop in the same AGB
- i-process chemical signature is small at [Fe/H] > -1 (in AGBs)
- Growing evidence of the existence of (low-metallicity) i-process stars

   -> isotopic ratios ?
  - -> Effect of ≠ overshoot, rotation, ... ?
  - -> Nuclear uncertainties -> cf. talk by S. Martinet
  - -> Relative contribution of the different i-process sites ?
  - -> Constraints from 3D models (overshoot, ...)

## i-process AGB models vs. observed « i-stars »







## Structure evolution of a 1D AGB model with proton ingestion



# What about light elements?

After 2 **Proton ingestion produces Lithium** A(Li)<sub>surf</sub> (Cameron & Fowler mechanism) 000000 Ο Ο 0 8 0 Cameron & Fowler 1971 Iwamoto+2004 Before ingestion □  $\nabla^{\nabla}$ -2 Cristallo+2009  $1.7~M_{\odot}$  $2 M_{\odot}$  $1 M_{\odot}$ ۲  $1.5 \ M_{\odot}$  $1.8~M_{\odot}$  $3 M_{\odot}$ -4 -2.0 -1.5 -3.0-1.0-2.5-0.50.0 -3.535 [Fe/H] Ο 30. Ο 000000 0 00 25 -ΓÌ Ο  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{O}}$ <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C 20-**Proton ingestion produces <sup>13</sup>C** Δ Before ingestion 15 Δ 10. proton ingestion / i-process 5 -= high Li, low  ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ After 0 --1.5 -0.5 -3.5 -3.0 -2.5-2.0-1.00.0 [Fe/H]





#### i-process AGB models vs. observed « i-stars »

residuals after chi<sup>2</sup> fitting procedure



Choplin, Siess, Goriely, Martinet 2021 & 2024, A&A



# AGB s-process vs. AGB i-process


## The case of a 2 M $_{\odot}$ , [Fe/H] = -2.5 AGB model (Z = 4 x 10<sup>-5</sup>)



## The **i-process** in a 1 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, [Fe/H] = -2.5 AGB model (Z = 4 x 10<sup>-5</sup>)



A 2 M $_{\odot}$  AGB at [Fe/H] = -0.5 : i- and s-process

