Nucleosynthesis and wind yields of Very Massive Stars

Erin Higgins

Armagh Observatory & Planetarium

Collaborators: Jorick Vink, Raphael Hirschi, Alison Laird, Andreas Sander, Gautham Sabhahit



E. Higgins

Armagh Observatory& Planetarium

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Very massive stars

- $\circ~$ VMS: stars with M $> 100 M_{\odot}$ and extremely high luminosities $\sim 10^6~L_{\odot}$
- While few in numbers, they dominate the light, feedback, chemical enrichment of ISM as they lose disproportional amounts of mass when compared to O stars
- $\circ~$ Top heavy IMF was found in 30Dor with observational evidence for stars up to 200-300 M_{\odot}
- $\circ~$ VMS also found in the Arches cluster of the Milky Way with M~100M_{\odot}



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

VMS structure and evolution

With high luminosities and masses, they evolve in proximity to the Eddington limit resulting in inflated envelopes and

high mass-loss rates $\frac{\chi l_{\rm rad}}{4\pi G cm}$ 5 0 $\log_{10} (\rho/g \ cm^{-2})$ -5 -10 2 6 0 Grafener et al. 2012 r/R_{\odot}



Large convective envelopes leading to chemical

homogeneous evolution

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Mass Loss of VMS $\dot{M} \propto \Gamma$



Theoretically predicted and observed kink in mass-loss rates

E. Higgins

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Mass Loss of VMS $\dot{M} \propto \Gamma$

New theoretical mass-loss prescription for stars above and below the transition point (Sabhahit et al., 2022; 2023)

Results lead to vertical evolution in HRD, reproducing the small observed Teff of VMS in the Arches cluster (MW) and Tarantula nebula (LMC).

Also a mass turnover point found in Higgins et al. (2022) for VMS at 1.6Myrs where all M_{init} reach $M \sim 50 M_{\odot}$ at the TAMS.





Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Chemical yields

- The chemical composition of galaxies relies on the production of elements in stars, which are subsequently released in stellar winds and supernovae.
- This rejuvenation of galaxies over generations of stars has led us to the metal-rich environment of our own Galaxy, with abundant quantities of carbon (C), oxygen (O), nitrogen (N) and iron (Fe).
- The origin of elements concerns the stellar nucleosynthesis, wind ejecta and chemical yields, of a given population, providing a broad perspective on galactic chemical evolution



Background image credit: Alamy H2HW1B

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Stellar nucleosynthesis



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

MNRAS 000, 000-000 (2023)

Preprint 31 August 2023

Compiled using MNRAS LATEX style file v3.0

Stellar Wind Yields of Very Massive Stars

Erin R. Higgins^{1*}, Jorick S. Vink¹, Raphael Hirschi^{2,3}, Alison M. Laird⁴, Gautham N. Sabhahit

 ¹Armagh Observatory and Planetarium, College Hill, Armagh BT61 9DG, N. Ireland
 ²Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK
 ³Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa 277-8583, Japan
 ⁴School of Physics, Engineering and Technology, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

Accepted. Received

ABSTRACT

The most massive stars provide an essential source of recycled material for young clusters and galaxies. While very massive stars (VMS, $M > 100 M_{\odot}$) are relatively rare compared to O stars, they lose disproportionately large amounts of mass already from the onset of core H-burning. VMS have optically thick winds with elevated mass-loss rates in comparison to optically thin standard O-star winds. We compute wind yields and ejected masses on the main sequence, and we compare enhanced mass-loss rates to standard ones. We calculate solar metallicity wind yields from MESA stellar evolution models in the range $50-500 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$, including a large nuclear network of 92 isotopes, investigating not only the CNO-cycle, but also the Ne-Na and Mg-Al cycles. VMS with enhanced winds eject 5-10 times more H-processed elements (N, Ne, Na, Al) on the main sequence in comparison to standard winds, with possible consequences for observed anti-correlations, such as C-N and Na-O, in globular clusters. We find that for VMS 95% of the total wind yields is produced on the main sequence, while only \sim 5% is supplied by the post-main sequence. This implies that VMS with enhanced winds are the primary source of ²⁶Al, contrasting previous works where classical Wolf-Rayet winds had been suggested to be responsible for Galactic ²⁶Al enrichment. Finally, 200 M_o stars eject 100 times more of each heavy element in their winds than $50 \,M_{\odot}$ stars, and even when weighted by an IMF their wind contribution is still an order of magnitude higher than that of $50 M_{\odot}$ stars.

Key words: stars: massive – stars: evolution – stars: abundances – stars: mass loss – stars: interiors – nuclear reactions, nucleosynthesis, abundances



Stellar models MESA

◦ Grid of models with $M_i = 50-500M_{\odot}$ at Z_☉

- Calculated from ZAMS until core O-exhaustion
- New Sabhahit et al. (2022) wind implementation for VMS above the transition point
- Comparison test models for VMS implementing Vink et al. (2001) winds
- Large nuclear network of **92 isotopes** included for relevant reactions until core O-exhaustion
- Chemical yields provided for both MS and post-MS evolution



Stellar wind yields

Ejected masses (*EM*) of each element, integrated over the star's lifetime (or a particular burning phase, τ). The surface abundance of a given isotope (X_i^S) as a function of mass lost (\dot{M}) at each timestep, provides the overall mass lost of each isotope

 $EM_{im} = \int_0^{\tau(m)} \dot{M} X_i^S(m, t) dt$

Mass loss

Integrated over the stellar lifetime

Surface abundance

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Net chemical yields

Alternatively, the **net** yield can be calculated (m_i) which provides the **change in a given element released back into the host environment**. The difference is that the initial surface abundance of a given isotope (X_i^0) is first subtracted at each timestep, giving the net yield of each isotope. This calculation is most commonly used in GCE models and comparisons with observed chemical trends in stellar populations.

$$m_i^{\text{wind}} = \int_0^{\tau(m)} \dot{M}(m,t) \left[X_i^S(m,t) - X_i^0 \right] dt$$

Change in abundance as a function of the initial abundance

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Stellar models MESA



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Effects of winds

Difference of $\sim 100 M_{\odot}$ in mass lost, and therefore yields

300M_o

Vink 2001 mass loss rates (right)

Vink 2011 rates; Sabhahit 2022 mass loss implementation (left)

Core H-burning only

Grey shaded region = H-exhausted core

Time evolution = right to left

VMS with enhanced (Sabhahit 2022) winds eject **up to 10 times more** Hburning products of ¹⁴N, ²⁰Ne, ²³Na, and ²⁶Al than VMS with standard V01 winds



(a) Enhanced V11 optically-thick winds for VMS

(b) Standard V01 optically-thin O star winds applied to VMS

Figure 1. Time evolution of the surface abundance of H, He, C, N and O as a function of mass, for $300 M_{\odot}$ models at Z_{\odot} . As the star loses mass the time evolution follows from right to left. We apply the optically-thick, enhanced wind prescription outlined in equation (2) on the left, and the standard optically-thin, O star wind prescription from equation (1) on the right. The white region shows the mass lost over the MS lifetime, while the grey shaded region illustrates the remaining TAMS stellar mass with the black line denoting the TAMS.

Time evolution of surface isotopes as a function of stellar mass

During Core H-burning:

N increases, C and O decrease (CNO cycle)

²³Na increases quickly

²⁰Ne remains constant and ²²Ne increases at onset of core He-burning

²⁶Al increases and then remains constant during H-burning



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

<u>16th September 2024</u>

Consequences of enhanced winds

During Core H-burning:

V11 models eject ~2x more H, He, N, Ne, Na and Si, and up to 10x more Al than V01 models

| | | | | | | | <i>H</i> | | | | · | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2 | $M_{ m i}/{ m M}_{\odot}$ | М | $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ | ⁴ He | ¹² C | 14 N | ¹⁶ O | ²⁰ Ne | ²² Ne | ²³ Na | ²⁶ Mg | ²⁶ Al | ²⁷ Al | ²⁸ Si |
| | 100 | V11 | 27.418 | 38.339 | 0.033 | 0.422 | 0.118 | 0.105 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 4.88E-3 | 2.271E-3 | 3.454E-3 | 0.039 |
| | 200 | V11 | 67.603 | 97.182 | 0.041 | 1.234 | 0.148 | 0.261 | 0.003 | 0.038 | 0.011 | 7.391E-3 | 0.009 | 0.097 |
| | 300 | V11 | 115.623 | 146.385 | 0.045 | 2.046 | 0.167 | 0.416 | 0.004 | 0.060 | 0.017 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.154 |
| | 400 | V11 | 166.382 | 192.622 | 0.050 | 2.846 | 0.196 | 0.571 | 0.005 | 0.082 | 0.023 | 0.018 | 0.021 | 0.221 |
| | 500 | V11 | 222.696 | 239.014 | 0.065 | 3.649 | 0.264 | 0.736 | 0.007 | 0.104 | 0.029 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 0.272 |
| | 100 | V01 | 22.805 | 12.598 | 0.030 | 0.166 | 0.117 | 0.058 | 2.248E-3 | 4.339E-3 | 3.170E-3 | 4.005E-4 | 1.491E-3 | 0.021 |
| | 200 | V01 | 46.798 | 52.162 | 0.037 | 0.682 | 0.140 | 0.158 | 3.008E-3 | 0.020 | 7.549E-3 | 3.313E-3 | 5.328E-3 | 0.058 |
| | 300 | V01 | 68.392 | 94.944 | 0.040 | 1.221 | 0.150 | 0.258 | 3.795E-3 | 0.038 | 0.011 | 6.796E-3 | 9.865E-3 | 0.096 |
| | 400 | V01 | 91.733 | 140.717 | 0.045 | 1.794 | 0.164 | 0.366 | 4.867E-3 | 0.056 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.137 |
| | 500 | V01 | 119.558 | 186.017 | 0.056 | 2.380 | 0.197 | 0.480 | 6.361E-3 | 0.075 | 0.018 | 0.015 | 0.020 | 0.180 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

 Table 4. Ejected masses calculated with equation (4) for V11 and V01 models during core H-burning only. Initial masses and ejected masses are provided in solar mass units.





A key point is that the ejected mass of each isotope strongly depends on which burning phase the mass is lost, and therefore where mass-loss rates are high

E. Higgins

Chemical yields and ejected masses

On the MS, 95% of the total wind yields are produced, compared to just 5% of the total wind yields which are ejected on the post-MS.

Single stars with initial masses below 80M_o do not eject any ²⁶Al, but VMS eject 10⁻² to 10⁻³ of ²⁶Al and could be responsible for the significant mass of ²⁶Al observed in our Galaxy.

Enhanced Vink 2011 rates only

| | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | $M_{ m i}/{ m M}_{\odot}$ | $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ | ⁴ He | ¹² C | ¹⁴ N | ¹⁶ O | ²⁰ Ne | ²² Ne | ²³ Na | ²⁶ Mg | ²⁶ Al | ²⁷ Al | ²⁸ Si |
| ENA | 50 | 5.698 | 2.105 | 0.014 | 4.85E-3 | 0.052 | 0.013 | 1.04E-3 | 2.25E-4 | 5.85E-4 | 0 | 3.03E-4 | 4.60E-3 |
| | 80 | 22.131 | 33.552 | 4.788 | 0.268 | 1.337 | 0.099 | 0.195 | 0.0129 | 6.113E-3 | 1.33E-3 | 3.35E-3 | 0.036 |
| | 100 | 27.617 | 51.069 | 3.203 | 0.476 | 0.808 | 0.130 | 0.135 | 0.019 | 6.54E-3 | 2.68E-3 | 4.70E-3 | 0.049 |
| | 200 | 67.670 | 109.516 | 2.973 | 1.288 | 0.767 | 0.286 | 0.126 | 0.043 | 0.013 | 7.77E-3 | 0.011 | 0.107 |
| 100M _o star ejects 100 | 300 | 115.687 | 158.687 | 3.061 | 2.101 | 0.822 | 0.444 | 0.130 | 0.066 | 0.019 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.165 |
| | 400 | 169.343 | 206.126 | 3.125 | 2.903 | 0.880 | 0.602 | 0.134 | 0.088 | 0.025 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.223 |
| times more ¹² C, ²² Ne, | 500 | 222.756 | 251.503 | 3.175 | 3.703 | 0.935 | 0.761 | 0.137 | 0.109 | 0.031 | 0.023 | 0.028 | 0.282 |
| ²³ Na and ²⁸ Si, than a | 50 | -5.75E-5 | 5.99E-7 | -7.87E-7 | 3.64E-8 | -1.24E-10 | -2.55E-11 | -3.01E-11 | 2.89E-11 | -1.16E-12 | 0 | -5.99E-13 | -9.08E-12 |
| 50M star | 80 | -22.932 | 16.906 | 4.675 | 0.230 | 0.928 | -3.56E-3 | 0.186 | 0.0111 | 1.49E-3 | 1.33E-3 | 9.47E-4 | -4.69E-5 |
| JUM _O Stal | 100 | -32.668 | 28.800 | 3.051 | 0.425 | 0.261 | -0.006 | 0.124 | 0.016 | 3.49E-4 | 2.68E-3 | 1.49E-3 | -9.76E-6 |
| | 200 | -64.112 | 60.617 | 2.640 | 1.176 | -0.435 | -0.014 | 0.101 | 0.038 | -7.45E-4 | 7.77E-3 | 3.55E-3 | 1.06E-5 |
| Not | 300 | -87.268 | 83.717 | 2.548 | 1.927 | -1.036 | -0.019 | 0.092 | 0.057 | -1.96E-3 | 0.013 | 5.43E-3 | 2.27E-5 |
| INEL | 400 | -107.453 | 103.880 | 2.431 | 2.667 | -1.634 | -0.025 | 0.083 | 0.077 | -3.31E-3 | 0.018 | 7.26E-3 | 3.36E-5 |
| vields | 500 | -126.185 | 122.608 | 2.300 | 3.406 | -2.234 | -0.030 | 0.073 | 0.095 | -4.72E-3 | 0.023 | 9.09E-3 | 4.40E-5 |

Table 5. Ejected masses (top) and net yields (bottom) calculated with equations (4) and (3) respectively, for V11 models over the complete evolution until core O-exhaustion. Initial masses, ejected masses, and yields are provided in solar mass units.

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Production of radioisotope ²⁶Al

- We find that the radioisotope ²⁶Al which was previously thought to be produced and ejected by classical WRs, is mainly ejected from VMS
- Increases by a factor of 10-100 early in MS and very little ²⁶Al remains in the WRs produced from VMS
- This has consequences for the enrichment of our Solar system which has been observed to have $\sim 3M_{\odot}$ of ²⁶Al which was a key heating source for the Earth's early formation



H-TAMS

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Higgins et al., (2023)

IMF-weighted yields

Interestingly, we discover that the intermediate mass range of transition stars with $M_{init} = 80-100M$ eject more ¹²C and ¹⁶O than higher mass stars as they do not experience CHE, or do not lose a significant amount of ⁴He before it is processed on the post-MS.



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

IMF-weighted yields

During the entire evolution of VMS yield 10 times more H-processed elements than $M < 50M_{\odot}$ O stars **even when weighted by an IMF**, and they yield positive amounts of ⁴He, ¹⁴N, ¹²C, and ²²Ne, relative to their initial abundances when compared to O stars.

| $M_{\rm i}/{\rm M}_{\odot}$ | I_i/M_{\odot} ⁴ He ¹² C | | ¹⁴ N ¹⁶ O | | ²⁰ Ne | ²² Ne | ²³ Na | ²⁶ Al | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| 17 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | 3.54E-10 | -4.66E-10 | 2.15E-11 | -7.33E-14 | -1.51E-14 | -1.78E-14 | 1.71E-14 | 0 | |
| 80 | 4.09E-03 | 1.13E-03 | 5.57E-05 | 2.25E-04 | -8.63E-07 | 4.50E-05 | 2.69E-06 | 3.22E-07 | |
| 100 | 4.56E-03 | 4.84E-04 | 6.74E-05 | 4.14E-05 | -9.51E-07 | 1.97E-05 | 2.54E-06 | 4.25E-07 | |
| 200 | 2.57E-03 | 1.12E-04 | 4.99E-05 | -1.85E-05 | -5.95E-07 | 4.29E-06 | 1.61E-06 | 3.30E-07 | |
| 300 | 1.65E-03 | 5.01E-05 | 3.79E-05 | -2.04E-05 | -3.73E-07 | 1.81E-06 | 1.12E-06 | 2.56E-07 | |
| 400 | 1.18E-03 | 2.77E-05 | 3.03E-05 | -1.86E-05 | -2.84E-07 | 9.44E-07 | 8.76E-07 | 2.05E-07 | |
| 500 | 9.13E-04 | 1.71E-05 | 2.54E-05 | -1.66E-05 | -2.23E-07 | 5.44E-07 | 7.07E-07 | 1.71E-07 | |
| 50 | 1.25E-03 | 8.28E-06 | 2.87E-06 | 3.08E-05 | 7.69E-06 | 6.18E-07 | 1.33E-07 | 0 | |
| 80 | 8.13E-03 | 1.16E-03 | 6.49E-05 | 3.24E-04 | 2.40E-05 | 4.72E-05 | 3.12E-06 | 3.22E-07 | |
| 100 | 8.09E-03 | 5.08E-04 | 7.54E-05 | 1.28E-04 | 2.06E-05 | 2.14E-05 | 3.01E-06 | 4.25E-07 | |
| 200 | 4.65E-03 | 1.26E-04 | 5.47E-05 | 3.26E-05 | 1.21E-05 | 5.35E-06 | 1.83E-06 | 3.30E-07 | |
| 300 | 3.13E-03 | 6.02E-05 | 4.13E-05 | 1.62E-05 | 8.73E-06 | 2.56E-06 | 1.30E-06 | 2.56E-07 | |
| 400 | 2.35E-03 | 3.56E-05 | 3.30E-05 | 1.00E-05 | 6.85E-06 | 1.52E-06 | 1.00E-06 | 2.05E-07 | |
| 500 | 1.87E-03 | 2.36E-05 | 2.76E-05 | 6.96E-06 | 5.67E-06 | 1.02E-06 | 8.12E-07 | 1.71E-07 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 6. IMF-weighted net yields (top) and ejected masses (bottom) calculated with equation (6), for V11 models over the complete evolution until core O-exhaustion. We adopt the IMF of Schneider et al. (2018) where $M^{-1.90}$.

Consequences for the post-MS

E. Higgins

New Sabhahit et al. 2022 wind prescription for
 VMS leads to He-ZAMS masses of ~32M_☉ at Z_☉
 regardless of initial VMS mass



Higgins et al., (2023)

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Chemical enrichment from cWRs

As these stars lose mass through strong Zdependent winds, they enrich their environment with material including freshly synthesised isotopes which are key tracers in galaxy evolution and star

formation



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Hydrodynamically-consistent stellar atmospheres of Wolf-Rayet winds

- Hamman et al., (1995)
- o Nugis & Lamers, (2000)
- o Vink & de Koter, (2005)
- Sander & Vink, (2020)



Figure 16. Mass-loss rate \dot{M} as a function of metallicity Z for our hydrogen-free atmosphere models with $20 M_{\odot}$, compared to different empirical and theoretical recipes evaluated for $X_{\rm H} = 0$. The green diamonds denote the individual models from Vink (2017).

Sander & Vink (2020, MNRAS, 499)

Belczynski et al., 2010

E. Higgins

extrapolation regime (no H-free WN stars known) Nugis & Lamers (2000) Vink & de Koter (2005) $\log \dot{M} \, [\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1}]$ Yoon (2017) ich et al. (2015) Vink (2017 -6 empirical recipes ----- Monte Carlo simul. -7 **SMC** LMC MW -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 $\log(Z/Z_{\odot})$

Stellar yields of pure Helium stars or cWRs

- Grid of models with $M_i = 8-50 M_{\odot}$
- o Sander & Vink 2020 wind prescription
- Large nuclear network of 92 isotopes included for relevant reactions until core O-exhaustion
- Chemical yields provided for WR subtypes



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Nucleosynthesis

- Comparison with post-MS VMS formation of cWRs from Paper I
- N-rich WR at He-ZAMS, but quickly stripped to show C-enrichment
- Ne-Na cycle shows ²²Ne as the most abundant
 Ne isotope which would be observed in WRs
- These WRs (~ $30M_{\odot}$) eject a factor of 10 more in mass of ¹²C (~ $3M_{\odot}$) and ²²Ne (~ $0.2M_{\odot}$) through stellar winds than MS stars



Higgins et al., (2024)

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

16th September 2024

E. Higgins

Observable WR subtypes

The observable surface enrichment (and therefore the WR subtype) is dominated by when stars lose mass.

If stars lose a significant amount of N-rich material during the MS, then the WR will appear as a WC star much earlier in the post-MS evolution.

Here the WN star is quickly stripped to show Cenrichment, presenting as a WC star for the majority of the He-burning stage.



Neutron densities of cWRs

We confirm that during core He-burning, cWRs produce a significant number of neutrons for the weak s-process via the reaction

 $e(\alpha,n)$

We find central neutron densities of 3.21×10^7 cm⁻³ for a $30M_{\odot}$ stripped cWR model compared to N_n= 1.56×10^7 cm⁻³ from Frischknecht et al. (2016)



Figure 7. Amount of ²⁵Mg or ²⁶Mg synthesised (in mass fractions) during core He-burning (black dots, green dots), and remaining ²²Ne (red stars) at He-exhaustion as a function of initial He-ZAMS mass of each model in our grid. The total ²²Ne ($\Delta^{25}Mg_{f-i} \times 22/25 + \Delta^{26}Mg_{f-i} \times 22/26 + {}^{22}Ne_r$) is shown with blue triangles.

Conclusions



Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden

Thank you for your attention!

Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics XI, Dresden