Dust formation around evolved stars

Flavia Dell'Agli - INAF Observatory of Rome





Credits: ESA/Gaia/DPAC; Maps: CC BY-SA 3.0 IGO



Credits: ESA/Gaia/DPAC; Maps: CC BY-SA 3.0 IGO

© 2005 Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc



Credits: ESA/Gaia/DPAC; Maps: CC BY-SA 3.0 IGO



DUST FORMATION IN THE CIRCUMSTELLAR ENVELOPE



DUST FORMATION IN THE CIRCUMSTELLAR ENVELOPE



Ferrarotti & Gail 2006

DUST FORMATION IN THE CIRCUMSTELLAR ENVELOPE



Ferrarotti & Gail 2006

It depends on:



1) the surface chemistry of the stars

The CO molecule is extremely stable

 \rightarrow The element in excess between C and O is available to form dust.

It depends on:



1) the surface chemistry of the stars

The CO molecule is extremely stable

→ The element in excess between C and O is available to form dust.

M<4Msun stars that experience several third dredge up (TDU) episodes can reach the carbon star stage (C/O>1)

M>4Msun stars that activate the hot bottom burning (HBB) burn ¹²C via the proton capture nucleosynthesis (C/O<1)







-10

DUST GROWTH



WIND STRUCTURE



WIND STRUCTURE



WIND STRUCTURE









More TDU episodes \rightarrow more solid carbon dust













the total amount of dust produced during the entire AGB phase



UNCERTAINTIES at solar metallicity:

Ventura, Karakas et al. 2018



Figure 16. The yields of the CNO elements and of sodium for the AGB models presented here (shown as black squares), compared with the results from Karakas & Lugaro (2016) (red points), Cristallo, Straniero & Piersanti (2015) (blue triangles) and Di Criscienzo et al. (2016) (green diamonds). The four panels report the yields of ¹²C (top left-hand panel), ¹⁴N (top right-hand panel), ¹⁶O (bottom left-hand panel) and sodium (bottom right-hand panel).

UNCERTAINTIES at solar metallicity:

Ventura, Karakas et al. 2018





Figure 16. The yields of the CNO elements and of sodium for the AGB models presented here (shown as black squares), compared with the results from Karakas & Lugaro (2016) (red points), Cristallo, Straniero & Piersanti (2015) (blue triangles) and Di Criscienzo et al. (2016) (green diamonds). The four panels report the yields of ¹²C (top left-hand panel), ¹⁴N (top right-hand panel), ¹⁶O (bottom left-hand panel) and sodium (bottom right-hand panel).

Figure 20. The size of solid carbon (open squares) and olivine (full squares) particles formed in the wind of the AGB models discussed here. Open red circles and full red points indicate, respectively, the dimension of solid carbon and olivine grains formed when the MONASH results for the description of the AGB phase are used.

UNCERTAINTIES

1. Stellar evolution models uncertainties

UNCERTAINTIES

- 1. Stellar evolution models uncertainties
- 2. Shocks and pulsations are not taken into account
- 3. The mass loss rate is assumed as an input of the model

Dynamic of the wind?

atmospheric levitation by pulsation-induced

shock waves followed by radiative acceleration of dust grains, which transfer momentum to the surrounding gas through collisions.



Peter Woikte webpage: <u>http://www-star.st-and.ac.uk/~pw31/AGB_popular.html</u>

What we have learnt from hydrodinamical models:

see e.g. Hofner & Olofsson 2018 (review), Hofner & Freytag 2021 (conference proceeding)

Carbon stars:

- -- Slow/weak winds:
 - presence of dust support atmosphere structure but the outflow is generated by pulsation and thermal gas pressure (Mattsson 2016)
- -- Dusty driven winds:
 - carbon dust driver of the wind
 - study of Mloss (Wachter 2002 2008, Mattsson+2009, 2011) that
 - depends on C abundance and pulsation amplitudes (Mattsson+2009, 2011)
- -- Effect of the drift? (Sandin & Mattsson 2020)

O-rich stars:

- -- Wind driver? A long debate..
 - by photon scattering of Iron free Silicates 0.1-1micron (e.g.
 - Hofner+2008,2021; Bladh+2019)
- -- Al₂O3 at 2 stellar radii (Hofner+2016)

UNCERTAINTIES

- 1. Stellar evolution models uncertainties
- 2. Shocks and pulsations are not taken into account
- 3. The mass loss rate is assumed as an input of the model
- 4. The density of the seeds on which the grains grow are assumed a priori

Works in progress... on nucleation processes look at works by David Gobrecht The Vulcan code (Cristallo et al. 2021)

Thank you!

WETTERN

The EVOLUTION of the AGB SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTION

Carbon rich star

$1.5~M_{\odot}$	$\mathbf{Z}{=}~4\times10^{-3}$						
n°	t_{AGB}	${\rm M}/{\rm M}_{\odot}$	$ m L/L_{\odot}$	\dot{M}	T_{eff}	$ au_{10}$	a_{Sil}/a_C
1	8.5240D + 06	1.50	$3.51D{+}02$	5.84D-11	4466.89	4.01D-10	0.001
2	$1.3632D{+}06$	1.44	5.76D + 03	4.12D-07	3244.80	1.12D-02	0.092
3	1.7278D + 06	1.07	6.37D + 03	3.88D-06	2736.83	7.32D-02	0.141
4	$1.7635D{+}06$	0.70	$6.75D{+}03$	3.20D-05	2454.62	6.64 D-01	0.207

The EVOLUTION of the AGB SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTION

Oxygen rich star

6.5 M_{\odot} Z= 8 × 10⁻³

n°	t_{AGB}	${ m M/M}_{\odot}$	$ m L/L_{\odot}$	\dot{M}	T_{eff}	$ au_{10}$	a_{sil}
1	1.5000D + 01	6.48	3.23D + 04	2.57 D 06	3662.05	2.97 D-02	0.059
2	1.1767D + 04	5.14	8.69D + 04	3.07 D-04	2984.91	1.82D + 00	0.120
3	3.0096D + 04	2.39	4.73D + 04	5.99 D-05	2772.24	6.87 D-01	0.103
4	4.5611D + 04	1.82	3.57D + 04	2.35D-05	2807.08	3.51D-01	0.095

JWST era

LMC CMD at various distances as seen by JWST