

HELMHOLTZ METADATA COLLABORATION

Data management practices among Helmholtz's research communities – A survey on the status quo and on community-specific demands.

Silke Gerlich¹, Volker Hofmann¹, Markus Kubin², Lucas Kulla³, Christine Lemster⁴, Oonagh Mannix², Katharina Rink³, Marco Nolden³, Jan Schweikert⁵, Sangeetha Shankar⁶, Emanuel Söding⁴, Leon Steinmeier⁷, Wolfgang Suess⁵

Abstract

- In 2021, HMC conducted a community survey to understand the status quo, gaps, and needs of research data management practices across the Helmholtz Association.
- 631 completed survey replies were obtained from researchers in all Helmholtz centers from all six Helmholtz research fields.
- An official report with a Helmholtz-wide analysis of the survey data and a data publication will be available soon [1].

Approach

- The survey was designed to dynamically adapt to the respondents' expertise across subject topics.
- Survey consisted of **49** (sub-) questions.
- Data analysis was carried out with the HIFIS**surveyval** python framework [2].

Personal Background

- Most responses from **research associates** (36%) and **principal investigators** (23%).
- Most responses from participants who have been working in research more than 10 years (49%).



"How familiar are you with the FAIR data guidelines?" (All hubs, except Hub Information; Single choice; *n* = 599; relative amounts refer to *n*.)

Research Data Management

- Only 17% of respondents store their data on external servers and repositories after the end of a research project. 83% of respondents store their research data in internal servers.
- document their research data in a • 35% 62% which structured use way, out of internationally used schemas and standards.
- 67% work on purely or mostly self-generated data.
- 22% work on purely or mostly reused data.



Top 3 difficulties encountered in collecting *metadata* (All hubs)

Data Publication

Top 3 motivations to publish research data (All hubs)

repositories (52%) or data journals (10%).



Top 3 obstacles encountered in publishing research data (All hubs)

Services • The community has expressed a need for support in RDM software & tools, best practices, etc. 52.3 % RDM software & tools 49.5 % Best practices 46.5 % Metadata enrichment of research data DMP development 46.4 % Technical aspects of RDM 44.9 % 43.7 % Legal aspects 39.7 % Data publication 35.3 % Metadata use & analysis 28.5 % Research data reuse No need for support 7.5 % Other 0.3 % *"In which areas of research data management do you"* perceive a need for supporting services?". (All hubs; *Multiple-choice; n = 604; relative amounts refer to n.)* refer to n.)

References

[1] HMC Community Survey 2021 (data publication) doi: https://doi.org/10.7802/2433 [2] HIFIS-Surveyval python framework https://gitlab.hzdr.de/hifis/overall/surveys/hifis-surveyval

¹ Institute Materials Data Science and Informatics (IAS-9), Forschungszentrum Jülich ² Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie ³ German Cancer Research Center Heidelberg ⁴ GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel ⁵ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology ⁶ Institute of Transportation Systems, German Aerospace Center ⁷ Helmholtz Institute Freiberg

> discouraged Тор obstacles that participants from publishing data (All hubs)



formats". (All hubs; Multiple-choice; One non-mandatory interest rating per service format n = 612; relative amounts

HELMHOLTZ RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES