# Nuclear reactions for Standard Solar Models

# Aldo Serenelli Institute of Space Sciences (ICE, CSIC)

# Schools on Nuclear Astrophysics Questions 8/12/2021





# Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
  
 $\gamma \le 26.7 \text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$ 

# Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
  
 $\gamma \le 26.7 \text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$ 

CN-cycle initially proposed in the 1930s



#### Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
  
 $\gamma \le 26.7 \text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$ 

# CNO-(bi)cycle

# Part of the larger CNO-cycle



#### Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
  
 $\gamma \le 26.7 \text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$ 

# CNO-(bi)cycle

# Part of the larger CNO-cycle

CN-cycle ~ 50 NO-cycle (Sun)



#### Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
  
$$\gamma \le 26.7 \text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$$



#### Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
  
 $\gamma \le 26.7 \text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$ 



proton-proton chains

two main branching points

#### Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
  
 $\gamma \le 26.7 \text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$ 



proton-proton chains

ppl:ppll:pplll (& <sup>3</sup>He+p) 85:15:1e-4

#### Powering the Sun

$$4p \longrightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2\nu_{e} + \gamma$$
$$\gamma \le 26.7\text{MeV} = c^{2}(4m_{p} - m_{{}^{4}\text{He}})$$

### pp-chains





#### Powering the Sun

**Eight fundamental neutrino sources can probe solar interior/physics** 



pp-chains

CNO-(bi)cycle

 $pp - pep - {^7Be} - {^8B} - hep$ 

 $^{13}N - ^{15}O - ^{17}F$ 

#### Powering the Sun

**Eight fundamental neutrino sources can probe solar interior/physics** 



pp-chains

CNO-(bi)cycle

A framework (physical model) for quantitative predictions is needed (standard) solar models

# stellar evolution -physical processes (equations)<br/>constitutive physics (nuclear rates, EOS, opacity, etc.)<br/>initial composition of the star

Reaction	Uncertainty	Ref.
$p + p \rightarrow d + \nu_e + e^+$	1%	A16
$^{3}\mathrm{He} + ^{3}\mathrm{He} \rightarrow 2\mathrm{p} + ^{4}\mathrm{He}$	5.2%	A11
$p + {}^{3}He \rightarrow {}^{4}He + \nu_{e} + e^{+}$	30%	A11
$^{3}\mathrm{He} + ^{4}\mathrm{He} \rightarrow ^{7}\mathrm{Be}$	5.2%	A11
$p + 7 Be \rightarrow^{8} B$	4.7%	Z15
$e^- + {}^7 Be \rightarrow {}^7 Li$	2%	A11
$^{\mathrm{p}}+^{14}\mathrm{N}\rightarrow^{15}\mathrm{O}$	7.5%	M11
$^{\mathrm{p}}+^{16}\mathrm{O}\rightarrow^{17}\mathrm{F}$	7.6%	A11

Adelberger et al. 2011, Marta et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2015, Acharya et al. 2016 Other reactions have small influence on outcome of solar models stellar evolution - physical processes (equations) constitutive physics (nuclear rates, EOS, opacity, etc.) initial composition of the star

# 3 observational constraints imposed at present age $\tau_\odot\text{=}4.57$ Gyr

- \* photospheric  $(Z/X)_{\odot}$  + solar mixture (fractional abundance of all metals)
- \* solar radius  $R_{\odot}$
- \* solar luminosity  $L_{\odot}$ = 3.8418 x 10<sup>33</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup>

stellar evolution - physical processes (equations) constitutive physics (nuclear rates, EOS, opacity, etc.) initial composition of the star

3 observational constraints imposed at present age  $\tau_\odot\text{=}4.57$  Gyr

\* photospheric  $(Z/X)_{\odot}$  + solar mixture (fractional abundance of all metals)

- \* solar radius  $R_{\odot}$
- \* solar luminosity  $L_{\odot}$ = 3.8418 x 10<sup>33</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup>

# 3 free parameters for the initial (pre-main sequence) model

- \* Initial helium  $Y_{ini}$  (L<sub> $\odot$ </sub>)
- \* Initial metallicity  $Z_{ini}$  (X+Y+Z=1), so all composition determined (Z/X)<sub>☉</sub>
- \* Efficiency of convection in mixing length  $lpha_{\text{MLT}}$  (R $_{\odot}$ )

Evolve until solar age  $\tau_{\odot}$  and adjust free parameters until observational constraints are satisfied (iterative process)

A standard solar model imposes a strong constraint on solar energetics

$$L_{\odot} = \int_{0}^{M} \left( \varepsilon_{\text{nuc}} - \varepsilon_{\nu} + \varepsilon_{\text{eg}} \right) dm$$
gravothermal energy
(contraction/expansion/internal)

energy) Negligible in main sequence star

A standard solar model imposes a strong constraint on solar energetics

$$L_{\odot} = \int_{0}^{M} \left(\varepsilon_{\text{nuc}} - \varepsilon_{\nu} + \varepsilon_{\text{eg}}\right) dm$$
nuclear energy production

nuclear energy production and associated neutrino losses

$$\varepsilon_{\rm nuc} = \sum_{\rm H-burn} \varepsilon_i(T, \rho, \vec{X})$$

$$\varepsilon_{\nu} = \sum_{i=1,8} \langle \varepsilon_{\nu} \rangle_i$$

A standard solar model imposes a strong constraint on solar energetics

$$L_{\odot} = \int_{0}^{M} (\varepsilon_{\text{nuc}} - \varepsilon_{\nu} + \varepsilon_{\text{eg}}) \, dm$$
  
nuclear energy production  
and associated neutrino losses  
$$\varepsilon_{\text{nuc}} = \sum_{\text{H-burn}} \varepsilon_{i}(T, \rho, \vec{X}) \qquad \qquad \varepsilon_{\nu} = \sum_{i=1,8} \langle \varepsilon_{\nu} \rangle_{\pi}$$

A linear relation between neutrino fluxes and solar luminosity can be obtained known as "Luminosity constraint" (Bahcall 2002, Vescovi et al. 2021)

$$L_{\odot} = \sum_{i=1,8} \alpha_i \Phi_i$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are given by **nuclear physics** and fluxes by the structure of the Sun (or model)

Spectral shape of neutrino fluxes well known (weak interactions) Absolute fluxes depend on model



# Solar neutrino problem

For ~30 years –  $\nu_e$  only experiments showed a solar  $\nu$  deficit



# Two classes of astrophysical solution





Accurate and precise <sup>3</sup>He+<sup>4</sup>He, <sup>3</sup>He+<sup>3</sup>He, p+<sup>7</sup>Be rates became crucial lengthy coverage of literature – Adelberger et al. 2011

#### Neutrino oscillations

SNO (and SuperK) discovery of neutrino oscillations



#### Neutrino oscillations

SNO (and SuperK) discovery of neutrino oscillations





#### Towards spectroscopy of solar neutrinos - Borexino

 $\nu$ fluxes: Solar models vs. Borexino



Once v-oscillations are accounted for  $\rightarrow$  good agreement with SSM (Borexino coll. 2018)

$$L = 3.89^{+0.35}_{-0.42} \times 10^{33} \,\mathrm{erg/s} \qquad \qquad L_{\odot} = 3.842 \times 10^{33} \,\mathrm{erg/s}$$

v-physics and solar models remain associated survival probability computed using SSMs as reference fluxes



### Detailed tests of solar physics

$$L = 3.89^{+0.35}_{-0.42} \times 10^{33} \text{ erg/s}$$
$$L_{\odot} = 3.842 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg/s}$$

In agreement, but 10% uncertainty

$$L_{\odot} = \int_{0}^{M} \left( \varepsilon_{\rm nuc} - \varepsilon_{\nu} + \varepsilon_{\rm eg} \right) dm$$

still room for non-standard energy sources

Institute of

Space Sciences

Flux	Solar (Global)		SSM - B16
	(no LC)		high-Z
$\Phi(pp)$	$6.21{\pm}0.50$	_	5.98(0.6%)
$\Phi(\text{pep})$	$1.51{\pm}0.12$		1.44(1%)
$\Phi(hep)$	$19^{+12}$		7.98(30%)
$\Phi(^7\text{Be})$	$4.85 {\pm} 0.19$	3%	4.93(6%)
$\Phi(^{8}B)$	$5.16^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	2%	5.46(12%)
	0.00		
E:	xperiments		Solar model

**Institute of** 

**Space Sciences** 

Flux _	Solar (Global)		SSM - B16
	(no LC)		high-Z
$\Phi(pp)$	$6.21 {\pm} 0.50$	_	5.98(0.6%)
$\Phi(\text{pep})$	$1.51 {\pm} 0.12$		1.44(1%)
$\Phi(hep)$	$19^{+12}$		7.98(30%)
$\Phi(^7\text{Be})$	$4.85 {\pm} 0.19$	3%	4.93(6%)
$\Phi(^{8}B)$	$5.16^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	2%	5.46(12%)

Experiment better than models

Nuclear reactions a limiting factor  $S_{34} - 5\%$  $S_{17} - 5\%$ 

Institute of

**Space Sciences** 



Institute of

**Space Sciences** 

Flux	Solar	(Global)	SSM	- B16
	(no LC)		high-Z	low-Z
$\Phi(pp)$	$6.21{\pm}0.50$	_	5.98(0.6%)	6.03(0.5%)
$\Phi( ext{pep})$	$1.51{\pm}0.12$		1.44(1%)	1.46(1%)
$\Phi(hep)$	$19^{+12}_{-9}$		7.98(30%)	8.25(30%)
$\Phi(^7\text{Be})$	$4.85 {\pm} 0.19$	3%	4.93(6%)	4.50(6%)
$\Phi(^8B)$	$5.16\substack{+0.13 \\ -0.09}$	2%	5.46(12%)	4.50(12%)
			1	

Also solar studies

Two solar models – different solar composition  $^{7}$ Ro and  $^{8}$ R different by 10% and 20%

<sup>7</sup>Be and <sup>8</sup>B different by 10% and 20%

Discrimination between them depends crucially on reducing model uncertainties

#### Solar abundance problem

3 observational constraints imposed at present age  $\tau_\odot$ =4.57 Gyr

# \* photospheric $(Z/X)_{\odot}$ + solar mixture (fractional abundance of all metals)

- \* solar radius  $R_{\odot}$
- \* solar luminosity  $L_{\odot}$ = 3.8418 x 10<sup>33</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup>



Spectroscopic analysis

new (AGSS09) vs old (GS98)

3D vs 1D model atmospheres NLTE vs LTE line formation identification of line blends

CNO(Ne) < 30-40%

"Modern" solar composition: low in metals

- $\rightarrow$  smaller radiative opacity in solar interior
- $\rightarrow$  impact on radiative energy transport (degeneracy with uncertain opacities)
- ightarrow changes internal solar structure

Most clear evidence - solar sound speed profile

(measurable by studying solar oscillations – global sound waves – helioseismology)



Solar abundance problem – no solution so far: limit in modeling Sun/stars?





#### Fluxes on Earth cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

Flux	B16-GS98	B16-AGSS09met
$\Phi(pp)$	$5.98(1\pm 0.006)$	$6.03(1\pm0.005)\text{x10}^{\text{10}}$
$\Phi(\text{pep})$	$1.44(1 \pm 0.01)$	$1.46(1\pm0.009) \text{ x10}^8$
$\Phi(hep)$	$7.98(1 \pm 0.30)$	$8.25(1 \pm 0.30)$ x10 <sup>3</sup>
$\Phi(^7\text{Be})$	$4.93(1 \pm 0.06)$	$4.50(1\pm 0.06)$ x10 <sup>9</sup>
$\Phi(^{8}B)$	$5.46(1 \pm 0.12)$	$4.50(1\pm0.12)$ x10 <sup>6</sup>
$\Phi(^{13}N)$	$2.78(1 \pm 0.15)$	$2.04(1 \pm 0.14) \text{ x10}^8$
$\Phi(^{15}\text{O})$	$2.05(1 \pm 0.17)$	$1.44(1 \pm 0.16)$ x10 <sup>8</sup>
$\Phi(^{17}\text{F})$	$5.29(1 \pm 0.20)$	$3.26(1\pm0.18)$ x10 <sup>6</sup>

CNO fluxes reflect linearly the CNO abundances 30-40% differences 15-20% uncertainties

Can we exploit v-fluxes to learn about solar core composition and point towards a solution of solar abundance problem?

Uncertainties in model v-fluxes separated qualitatively in:

- environmental (thermal): factors contributing to temperature in solar core (T<sub>c</sub>)
   radiative opacities, solar luminosity, abundance of metals (but CNO)
- nuclear rates: affect individual or a few fluxes (e.g. <sup>3</sup>He+<sup>4</sup>He)
- CN(O) abundances: affect directly CN(O) fluxes

Uncertainties in model v-fluxes separated qualitatively in:

- environmental (thermal): factors contributing to temperature in solar core (T<sub>c</sub>) radiative opacities, solar luminosity, abundance of metals (but CNO)
- nuclear rates: affect individual or a few fluxes (e.g. <sup>3</sup>He+<sup>4</sup>He)
- CN(O) abundances: affect directly CN(O) fluxes

Flux dependences

$$\frac{\Phi_i}{\Phi_{i,0}} = \prod_{j=\text{env}} \left(\frac{p_j}{p_{j,0}}\right)^{\beta_{ij}} \prod_{j=\text{nuc}} \left(\frac{p_j}{p_{j,0}}\right)^{\beta_{ij}} \prod_{j=\text{CNO}} \left(\frac{p_j}{p_{j,0}}\right)^{\beta_{ij}}$$

Uncertainties in model v-fluxes separated qualitatively in:

- environmental (thermal): factors contributing to temperature in solar core (T<sub>c</sub>) radiative opacities, solar luminosity, abundance of metals (but CNO)
- nuclear rates: affect individual or a few fluxes (e.g. <sup>3</sup>He+<sup>4</sup>He)
- CN(O) abundances: affect directly CN(O) fluxes

#### Flux dependences

$$\frac{\Phi_{i}}{\Phi_{i,0}} = \prod_{j=\text{env}} \left(\frac{p_{j}}{p_{j,0}}\right)^{\beta_{ij}} \prod_{j=\text{nuc}} \left(\frac{p_{j}}{p_{j,0}}\right)^{\beta_{ij}} \prod_{j=\text{CNO}} \left(\frac{p_{j}}{p_{j,0}}\right)^{\beta_{ij}}$$
$$\delta \Phi_{i} = \sum_{j=\text{env}} \beta_{ij} \delta p_{j} + \sum_{j=\text{nuc}} \beta_{ij} \delta p_{j} + \sum_{j=\text{CNO}} \beta_{ij} \delta p_{j}$$
1st order approximation

 $\beta_{ij}$  obtained from solar models (Serenelli et al. 2013) or linear perturbation (Villante & AS 2021)

#### Institute of Space Sciences Solar composition from solar neutrinos

Environmetal uncertainties are fully correlated among fluxes In linear regime, it is reasonable that for any two fluxes (i,k), a coefficient c<sub>ik</sub>

$$\delta\Phi_i - c_{ik}\delta\Phi_k = \sum_{j=\text{env}} (\beta_{ij} - c_{ik}\beta_{kj})\delta p_j + \sum_{j=\text{nuc}} (\beta_{ij} - c_{ik}\beta_{kj})\delta p_j + \sum_{j=\text{CNO}} (\beta_{ij} - c_{ik}\beta_{kj})\delta p_j$$

That will give 
$$\sum_{j=env} (\beta_{ij} - c_{ik}\beta_{kj})\delta p_j \approx 0$$

i.e. build a combination of v-fluxes that minimizes environmental uncertainties

**Institute of** 

**Space Sciences** 

#### Environmetal uncertainties are fully correlated among fluxes



Serenelli et al. 2013

$$\frac{\phi(^{13}\text{N})}{\phi(^{13}\text{N})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.576}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.840} x_{N}^{0.161} D^{0.183} [L_{\odot}^{0.553} O^{-0.017} A^{0.157}]$$

$$\times [S_{11}^{-0.639} S_{33}^{0.264} S_{34}^{-0.526} S_{17}^{-0.576} S_{e7}^{0.576} S_{114}^{0.743}]$$

$$\times [x_{O}^{0.002} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.004} x_{Si}^{0.0} x_{Sr}^{0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.005}]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{15}\text{O})}{\phi(^{15}\text{O})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.785}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.794} x_{N}^{0.212} D^{0.172} [L_{\odot}^{0.515} O^{-0.016} A^{0.308}]$$

$$\times [S_{11}^{-0.831} S_{33}^{0.342} S_{34}^{-0.685} S_{17}^{-0.785} S_{e7}^{0.785} S_{114}^{0.995}]$$

$$\times [x_{O}^{0.003} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.003} x_{Si}^{-0.001} x_{S}^{-0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.003} x_{Fe}^{-0.003}]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{13}\text{N})}{\phi(^{13}\text{N})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.576}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.840} x_{N}^{0.161} D^{0.183} [L_{\odot}^{0.553} \mathcal{O}^{-0.017} A^{0.157}]$$

$$\times [S_{11}^{-0.639} S_{33}^{0.264} S_{34}^{-0.526} S_{17}^{-0.576} S_{e7}^{0.576} S_{114}^{0.743}]$$

$$\times [x_{O}^{0.002} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.004} x_{Si}^{0.0} x_{S}^{0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.005}]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{15}\text{O})}{\phi(^{15}\text{O})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.785}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.794} x_{N}^{0.212} D^{0.172} \begin{bmatrix} 10.515 \\ L_{\odot} \end{bmatrix}^{0.001} A^{0.008} \end{bmatrix}^{0.785} \times \left[S_{11}^{-0.831} S_{33}^{0.342} S_{34}^{-0.685} S_{17}^{-0.785} S_{e7}^{0.785} S_{114}^{0.995}\right]$$

$$\times \left[x_{O}^{0.003} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.001} x_{S1}^{-0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.003}\right]^{0.003}$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{13}\text{N})}{\phi(^{13}\text{N})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.576}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.840} x_{N}^{0.161} D^{0.183} [L_{\odot}^{0.553} Q^{-0.017} A^{0.157}]$$

$$\times \left[S_{11}^{-0.639} S_{33}^{0.264} S_{34}^{-0.526} S_{17}^{-0.576} S_{e7}^{0.576} S_{114}^{0.743}\right]$$

$$\times \left[x_{O}^{0.002} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.004} x_{Si}^{0.0} x_{S}^{0.001} x_{O}^{0.005}\right]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{15}\text{O})}{\phi(^{15}\text{O})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.785}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.794} x_{N}^{0.212} D^{0.172} \left[\frac{L^{0.515}}{L^{\odot}} \partial^{-0.016} A^{0.308}\right]$$

$$\times \left[S_{11}^{-0.831} S_{33}^{0.342} S_{34}^{-0.685} S_{17}^{-0.785} S_{e7}^{0.785} S_{114}^{0.995}\right]$$

$$\times \left[x_{O}^{0.003} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.003} x_{Si}^{-0.001} x_{S}^{-0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.001} x_{Fe}^{0.003}\right]$$

$$\frac{\Phi(^{13}N)}{\Phi(^{13}N)^{SSM}} = \left(\frac{\Phi(^{8}B)}{\Phi(^{8}B)^{SSM}}\right)^{0.576} \approx X_{C+N} \left[0.5\%(env) + 9\%(nuc) + 2\%(diff)\right]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{13}\text{N})}{\phi(^{13}\text{N})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.576}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.840} x_{N}^{0.161} D^{0.183} [\underline{L}_{\odot}^{0.553} O^{-0.017} A^{0.157}]$$

$$\times [S_{11}^{-0.639} S_{33}^{0.264} S_{34}^{-0.526} S_{17}^{-0.576} S_{e7}^{0.576} S_{114}^{0.743}]$$

$$\times [x_{O}^{0.002} x_{\text{Ne}}^{-0.005} x_{\text{Mg}}^{-0.004} x_{\text{Si}}^{0.0} x_{\text{Ar}}^{0.001} x_{\text{Fe}}^{0.005}]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{15}\text{O})}{\phi(^{15}\text{O})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.785}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.794} x_{N}^{0.212} D^{0.172} \left[L_{\odot}^{0.515} O^{-0.016} A^{0.308}\right]$$

$$\times \left[S_{11}^{-0.831} S_{33}^{0.342} S_{34}^{-0.685} S_{17}^{-0.785} S_{e7}^{0.785} S_{114}^{0.995}\right]$$

$$\times \left[x_{O}^{0.003} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.003} x_{Si}^{-0.001} x_{S}^{-0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.001} x_{Fe}^{0.003}\right]$$

$$\frac{\Phi(^{13}\text{N})}{\Phi(^{13}\text{N})^{\text{SSM}}} = \left(\frac{\Phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\Phi(^{8}\text{B})^{\text{SSM}}}\right)^{0.576} \approx X_{\text{C+N}} \left[0.5\%(\text{env}) + 9\%(\text{nuc}) + 2\%(\text{diff})\right]$$
  
$$\Phi(^{8}\text{B}) \longrightarrow \text{Fixed by experiments (SNO, SuperK) to 2\%}$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{13}\text{N})}{\phi(^{13}\text{N})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.576}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.840} x_{N}^{0.161} D^{0.183} [L_{\odot}^{0.553} \mathcal{O}^{-0.017} A^{0.157}]$$

$$\times \left[S_{11}^{-0.639} S_{33}^{0.264} S_{34}^{-0.526} S_{17}^{-0.576} S_{e7}^{0.576} S_{114}^{0.743}\right]$$

$$\times \left[x_{O}^{0.002} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.004} x_{Si}^{0.0} x_{S}^{0.001} x_{O}^{0.005}\right]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{15}\text{O})}{\phi(^{15}\text{O})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.785}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.794} x_{N}^{0.212} D^{0.172} \left[ L_{\odot}^{0.515} O^{-0.016} A^{0.308} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ S_{11}^{-0.831} S_{33}^{0.342} S_{34}^{-0.685} S_{17}^{-0.785} S_{e7}^{0.785} S_{114}^{0.995} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ x_{O}^{0.003} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.003} x_{Si}^{-0.001} x_{S}^{-0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.001} x_{Fe}^{0.003} \right]$$

$$\frac{\Phi(^{13}N)}{\Phi(^{13}N)^{SSM}} = \left(\frac{\Phi(^{8}B)}{\Phi(^{8}B)^{SSM}}\right)^{0.576} \approx X_{C+N} \left[0.5\%(env) + 9\%(nuc) + 2\%(diff)\right]$$

Dominant uncertainty – nuclear cross sections from several reactions (S<sub>114</sub>, S<sub>34</sub>, S<sub>17</sub>)

$$\frac{\phi({}^{13}\text{N})}{\phi({}^{13}\text{N})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi({}^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}}({}^{8}\text{B})}\right]^{0.576}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.840} x_{N}^{0.161} D^{0.183} \frac{[L_{\odot}^{0.553} \mathcal{O}^{-0.017} A^{0.157}]}{[L_{\odot}^{0.0576} S_{17}^{-0.576} S_{e7}^{0.576} S_{114}^{0.743}]} \times \left[S_{11}^{-0.639} S_{33}^{0.264} S_{34}^{-0.526} S_{17}^{-0.576} S_{e7}^{0.576} S_{114}^{0.743}\right] \times \left[x_{O}^{0.002} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.004} x_{Si}^{0.0} x_{S}^{0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.005}\right]$$

$$\frac{\phi(^{15}\text{O})}{\phi(^{15}\text{O})^{\text{SSM}}} / \left[\frac{\phi(^{8}\text{B})}{\phi^{\text{SSM}(^{8}\text{B})}}\right]^{0.785}$$

$$= x_{C}^{0.794} x_{N}^{0.212} D^{0.172} \left[\frac{L^{0.515}}{L^{\odot}} \partial^{-0.016} A^{0.308}\right]$$

$$\times \left[S_{11}^{-0.831} S_{33}^{0.342} S_{34}^{-0.685} S_{17}^{-0.785} S_{e7}^{0.785} S_{114}^{0.995}\right]$$

$$\times \left[x_{O}^{0.003} x_{Ne}^{-0.005} x_{Mg}^{-0.003} x_{Si}^{-0.001} x_{S}^{-0.001} x_{Ar}^{0.001} x_{Fe}^{0.003}\right]$$

$$\frac{\Phi(^{13}N)}{\Phi(^{13}N)^{SSM}} = \left(\frac{\Phi(^{8}B)}{\Phi(^{8}B)^{SSM}}\right)^{0.576} \approx X_{C+N} \left[0.5\%(env) + 9\%(nuc) + 2\%(diff)\right]$$

# Provided a <sup>13</sup>N (or <sup>15</sup>O, or a combination of them) is available we obtain $X_{C+N}$ in the solar core!



Borexino coll. 2020

#### CN measurement at Borexino



Still large experimental uncertainty – further improvements in data analysis Pioneering study for future experiments In solar models, reducing nuclear uncertainties is required Solar models:

reference neutrino fluxes for neutrino physics – survival probability vacuum limit potentially in transition region (CNO fluxes)

neutrino inferred luminosity tests solar energy source and non-standard mechanisms

learning about solar properties

Summary

CN composition in the core – solar abundance problem

breaking degeneracy with radiative opacities

surface vs core composition  $\rightarrow$  tests of chemical mixing in stars non-standard events (e.g. accretion in early

solar system)

Underlying these topics is the requirement of accurate and precise nuclear reaction rates Fundamental physics (and astrophysics) requires excellent nuclear physics

# Blank page