Tracing the slow neutron capture process in AGB stars using Barium star abundances

Borbála Cseh Konkoly Observatory

SNAQ, 10 November 2021

Ba stars

- peculiar G-K spectral type, [Fe/H] > -1 dex
- strong spectral features: carbon molecular bands + s-process elements (e.g. Ba) \rightarrow synthetised inside AGB stars λ ΗΥΑ



 origin of overabundance? intrinsic or (Bidelman & Keenan

→ Absolute abundance
$$\log A(X) = \log_{10} \left(\frac{N_X}{N_H} \right) + 12$$

→ Abundance ratio
$$[X/Y] = \log_{10} \left(\frac{N_X}{N_Y} \right)_* - \log_{10} \left(\frac{N_X}{N_Y} \right)_*$$

 \rightarrow The Sun: [X/Y] = 0

extrinsic?

Andreas Koch-Hansen **SNAQ** April

1951)

Ba stars

- not yet evolved to the AGB phase
- RV variation (McClure+ 1983, ...), UV excess (Böhm-Vitense+ 2000)
 → binary systems, now WD companion
 → mass transfer, pollution from a former AGB companion
 - \rightarrow test: AGB s-process nucleosynthesis

s process

- s process: during TP-AGB phase
- peaks at Sr (1. peak), Ba (2. peak), Pb (3. peak)
- how to measure s-process efficiency? \rightarrow ratio of heavy (2. peak) and light (1. peak) s elements: [hs/ls] \rightarrow ratio: elimination of dilution effects \rightarrow s=? hs=? ls=?
- Is: Sr, Y, Zr
 hs: Ba, La, Ce, Nd



sample stars

- de Castro+ (2016):
 - 182 giant Ba stars (certain, candidate)
 - high resolution spectra (FEROS, R = 48000)
 - wide range in T_{eff} (4100–5400 K), metallicity
- Ba star: if [s/Fe] ≥ 0.25 dex, here s = La, Ce, Nd, Y, Zr → 13 stars rejected → 169 stars
- average [hs/ls], estimated error → separate elements + errors [hs/ls] → [Ce/Y], ...

AGB models

- final surface abundances, [s/Fe] ≥ 0.25 dex
- wide range of metallicities, masses, different ¹³C pocket size: to produce s-process elements
- FRUITY + Monash + NuGrid

Cristallo+ 2016, Cristallo+ 2015, Straniero+ 2014, Piersanti+ 2013, Cristallo+ 2011, Cristallo+ 2009

Karakas+ 2018, Karakas & Lugaro 2016, Fishlock+ 2013, Lugaro+ 2012

Pignatari+ 2016, Battino+ 2016

model comparison

 models in agreement with the data trends



(Cseh+ 2018)

comparison of individual stars

- 28 stars from the sample (de Castro+ 2016)
 → orbits + masses (Ba + AGB_{initial}) (Jorissen+ 2019)
- new elemental abundances: Rb, Sr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Sm, Eu + new La values (improved atomic data + hfs included) (Roriz+ 2021a,b)



comparison of individual stars

- all giants (with convective envelope)
 → AGB mass carried to the secondary and mixed
 → model comparison with dilution (δ)
- δ: to match [Ce/Fe], limit: δ < 0.9 (< 90% of the AGB envelope carried to the Ba star's surface)
- models:
 - different ¹³C pockets
 - metallicity range: Ba star's [Fe/H] +/- err

examples - OK



examples



examples – high first peak



comparison of individual stars

- out of 28 stars:
 - good match with 25 stars (some with higher independently derived AGB_{ini} masses)
 - \rightarrow 16 stars with higher Nb, Mo, Ru and/or Nd, Sm
 - 3 with higher first s-process peak, low mass AGB_{ini} (< 2 M_{sun}): these models cannot reproduce it!
 - \rightarrow different neutron density?
 - → can a new process of nucleosynthesis be identified and added to the model calculations?

summary

- Ba star polluters: low mass AGBs (~2–3 M_{sun}, with ¹³C as main neutron source)
- good match with 25 stars, 3 with higher first peak
- more stars coming with the same abundance pattern: using machine learning techniques (den Hartogh+ in prep.)
- include also other model sets

summary

- Ba star polluters: low mass AGBs (~2–3 M_{sun}, with ¹³C as main neutron source)
- good match with 25 stars, 3 with higher first peak
- more stars coming with the same abundance pattern: using machine learning techniques (den Hartogh+ in prep.)
- include also other model sets

Thank you for your attention!