J. Bundesmann, A. Denker, T. Damerow, A. Dittwald, T. Fanselow, D. Hildebrand, I. Ja, I. Kailouh, M. Kang, G. Kourkafas, S. Ozierenski, R. Pena Freitas Mendes, J. Röhrich, C. Zimmer

D. Cordini, J. Heufelder, S. Seidel, R. Stark, A. Weber

A. Akin

G. Dollinger, M. Mayerhofer, J. Neubauer, J. Reindl, A. Rousseti

# **Operation of the HZB Cyclotron**

Medical Application and its Challenges Experimental Mode and Special Features Extension Plans





BHT Berliner Hochschule für Technik

Universität

#### Layout of the accelerator complex

- k = 130 isochronous sector cyclotron
   10 20 MHz
- two injectors:
  - 2 MV Tandetron<sup>™</sup>
  - 6 MV Van-de-Graaff,
     backup, time structures
- three target stations:
  - treatment room
  - experimental station
  - beam line end for tests in cyclotron vault





#### **Beam Time Distribution**

#### • main user:

Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin for proton therapy of ocular melanoma



#### **Ocular Melanoma**

#### **Treatment Possibilities:**

- enucleation (removal of the eye) if tumour is too large
- irradiation with radioactive plaques (small tumours, away from critical structures)
- stereotactic irradiation (e.g. Cyberknife) high radiation level for eye, macula, and optic nerve
- proton therapy





#### **Proton Therapy of Ocular Melanoma**

• photons:

increase of dose after skin due to build-up effect exponential reduction after maximum (infinite range)

#### • electrons:

build-up of dose due to secondary electrons finite range (charged particle)

• protons:

low dose in entrance channel no dose after proton has stopped

- HZB: proton energy of 68 MeV
- well adapted energy: sharp distal fall-off, less than 1 mm 90% - 10% of dose
- enough beam current, treatment time < 1 minute/fraction</li>

protons permit confinement of dose to tumour

Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

relative dose







monoenergetic focussed proton beam from accelerator





- monoenergetic focussed proton beam from accelerator
- scattering foil widens the beam





range shifter defines maximum penetration depth







- monoenergetic focussed proton beam from accelerator
- scattering foil widens the beam
- range shifter defines maximum penetration depth
- modulator widens the Bragg peak





CHARITÉ

fixation light



- monoenergetic focussed proton beam from accelerator
- scattering foil widens the beam
- range shifter defines maximum penetration depth
- modulator widens the Bragg peak
- individual aperture defines proton field in x and y



CHARITÉ

• protons from the left



CHARITÉ

HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin CHARITÉ

#### **Proton Therapy: Imaging**

- Fundus photo shape of tumour tumour position tumour-macula-distance tumour-papilla-distance
- Operation: suturing of clips clip-tumour distance clip-limbus distance
- Ultrasound of eye
   length of eye
   tumour thickness
   tumour length and width
   clip-papilla-distance
- eye-CT / MRT

verification of eye and tumour geometry





Papille

Ø 1,5 mm





#### HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin CHARITÉ

#### **Proton Therapy: Treatment Plan**

- from imaging: digital eye model adapted to real shape of the eye
- permits the calculation of the dose distribution with a treatment planning system (Octopus, developed jointly with the DKFZ)
- treatment plan provides information necessary information
  - position of clips in space
  - range shifter
  - modulator
  - line of vision to fixation light
- treatment plan provides dose distribution

![](_page_12_Picture_11.jpeg)

fixation light

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Patient Preparation**

mask and bite block on high-precision adjustable chair

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Verification of Patient Positioning**

 digital X-ray system the first in ocular proton therapy (1998)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

CHARITÉ

#### **Proton Therapy: Verification of Patient Positioning**

• automatic calculation of the correction vector for patient positioning in 6 DOF:

HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

- 3 translations (left/right, up/down, forward/backward)
- 3 rotations of the eye
  (polar, azimuthal, twist/collimator)

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Verification of Patient Positioning**

after correction of patient position

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Patient Preparation**

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin CHARITÉ

#### **Proton Therapy: Patient Irradiation**

- prescribed dose is applied in 4 sessions:
- choroidal melanoma
   4 times 15,0 CGE = 60 CGE
- iris melanoma 4 times 12,5 CGE = 50 CGE
- choroidal haemangioma 4 times 5,0 CGE = 20 CGE (CGE = Cobalt Gray Equivalent, with RBE =  $1.1 \Rightarrow 1$  CGE = 1.1 Gy)
- 1 fraction ~ 30 seconds 60 seconds
- high intensities required

• Protons:

#### HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin CHARITÉ

### **Proton Therapy: Results**

- Tumor control after 5 years:
  - Ru-106: 80 98% weighted average: 91%<sup>1</sup>
  - I-125: 82 99% weighted average: 91%<sup>1</sup>
    - **90 99% weighted average: 96%**<sup>1,2</sup>
  - LINAC (SRT): 85 96% weighted average: 94%<sup>1,9</sup>
  - Cyberknife: 71 84% weighted average:  $81\%^{4,5}$
- Eye preservation after 5 years:
  - Ru-106: >90%<sup>10</sup>
  - I-125: ~90%<sup>8</sup>
  - Protons: >90%<sup>2,6</sup>
  - LINAC (SRT): ~78%<sup>9</sup>
  - Cyberknife: ~81%<sup>4,5</sup>

• Literatur (Auswahl):

<sup>1</sup>Chang: Brit J Ophthalmol. 2013; <sup>2</sup>Egger: Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001; <sup>3</sup>Seibel: Am J Ophthalmol 2015; <sup>4</sup>Liegl: Am J Ophthalmol 2023; <sup>5</sup>Yazici: Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017; <sup>6</sup>Mishra: CCO 2016; <sup>7</sup>Krause: Diss.2015; <sup>8</sup>Vonk: Brachytherapy 2015; <sup>9</sup>Dunavoelgyi: Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011; <sup>10</sup>Verschueren: Radiother Oncol 2010

#### (Charité: ca. 92%<sup>7</sup>)

(Charité: ca. 96%<sup>3</sup>)

(Charité: ca. 95%<sup>7</sup>)

(Charité: ca. 95%3)

#### **Proton Therapy: Challenges for the Accelerator - Energy**

- HZB: proton energy of 68 MeV
- well adapted energy: sharp distal fall-off, less than 1 mm for 90% - 10% of dose
- ideal for small structures like eyes (~ 24 mm diameter)
- high-energy accelerators (230 MeV 250 MeV) with commercial ocular treatment line:
   1.8 mm up to 4.4 mm for 90% - 10% of dose (based on PTCOG ocular proton therapy survey 2022)

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Challenges for the Accelerator - Intensity**

- HZB: proton energy of 68 MeV
- due to adapted energy: efficient beam adaptation
- high intensities in the treatment room
  - ⇒ dose rates up to 35 Gy/min
  - ⇒ comfortable short irradiation times for the patient
- high-energy accelerators (230 MeV 250 MeV) with commercial ocular treatment line: one exception, all other between 3 Gy/min and 15 Gy/min (based on PTCOG ocular proton therapy survey 2022)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Challenges for the Accelerator - Stability**

- beam intensity is monitored on-line during the treatment
- fast beam intensity changes lead to automatic interruption of the beam
- measured on Faraday cup after the cyclotron: less than 2%

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Challenges for the Accelerator - Availability**

Helmholtz

- only 1700 hours of scheduled beam time: major events → huge impact on statistics
- most errors appear during start-up of accelerator
- with few exceptions: downtime < 5%</li>
- good performance in spite of:
  - problems with suppliers (main issue: ultra long delivery times)
  - new safety regulations, requiring exchanges of perfectly working parts
- good performance thanks to huge efforts of the staff

![](_page_23_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### **Proton Therapy: Challenges for the Accelerator - Reliability**

 major events: cyclotron 160 • 2015: human error 140 -Others - increase of injector voltage too fast Cyclotron ົອ<sup>120 →</sup> **Beamline**  2021: high power coaxial feedthrough Injectors leaking – shifting of therapy week necessary (shifting counts as downtime) **Control System** 5% downtime 80 - 2023: severe cyber attack 60 control system extremely stable 40 20

0

Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin CHARITÉ

07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

year

#### **Proton Therapy: Challenges for the Accelerator – Control System**

- origins of the accelerator date back to the 70s: PDP 11, MUMTI (Multi User – Multi Task – Interface) with touchscreens!!
- decision in the 90s: create new control system using V-Systems (the commercial version of EPICS)
- challenge: installation of new control system parallel to accelerator operation, no long shut-downs available
- over the years: different control systems moved in
  - Tandetron: own control-system from accelerator supplier
  - Low Level RF control: based on EPCIS
  - beam diagnostics and experimental control: based on LabVIEW
- need to communicate to each other
- lock parameters when treatment operation

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Low Level RF Control**

- old low level RF control based on wire wrapped cards relatively compact despite the large number of components repair and maintenance more difficult
- in-house development was rejected due to lack of personnel and time
- collaboration with iThemba Labs
- signals are read or output via Beckhoff EtherCAT terminals
- two server PCs with Linux
- EPICS based user interface
- reduction in downtime of the RF

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODE**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Beam Time Distribution**

- main user: Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin for proton therapy of ocular melanoma
- accelerator R & D (ARD):
  - beam delivery for Flash irradiations (extremely high doses in short times)
  - beam profile monitors "behind" the cyclotron
  - "Cocktail beams": 90 MeV  ${}^{4}\text{He}^{2+}$  and 45 MeV  $\text{H}_{2}^{+}$
- Dosimetry and Medical Physics (MML):
  - irradiation of cells and organoids under conventional and FLASH conditions collaboration with Charité and others
- Radiation hardness tests (Radhard):
  - external users, e.g. DLR, universities, industry

![](_page_27_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **FLASH experiments**

- parallel to tumour treatment: ongoing research and development
- wish: reduction of side effects

   (e.g. radiation induced retinopathy 1 -2 years after treatment)
- one idea: increase dose rate = so called FLASH irradiations
- standard for ocular tumours: 15 Gy in 30 s to 60 s  $\rightarrow$  dose rate less than 0.5 Gy/s
- definition of FLASH irradiations: dose rate > 40 Gy/s, irradiation time < 1 s</li>
- currently ideal combination irradiation time versus dose rate unknown
- medical physicists: precision of dose delivery must be better than 3%
- challenges:
  - dosimetry: linearity, saturation effects, …
  - reliable beam delivery: beam stability, same dose from shot to shot, …

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Timing Issues**

 ideal: instant opening and closing of the beam shutter and no delays

> instantaneous, rectangular intensity (dose rate) curve

- reality:
  - $t_1 = delay from open command to start opening$
  - $t_2 = opening time$
  - $t_3 = closing command$
  - t<sub>4</sub> = delay from closing command to start closing
  - t<sub>5</sub> = closing time

![](_page_29_Figure_11.jpeg)

## **Beam intensity stable = Excess dose constant**

#### **Possible Beam Shutters**

- normal Faraday Cups (in total 16 at various positions):
- opening/closing time ~ 150 ms (50 mm stroke)
- >>too slow
- mechanical shutter in front of treatment room:
  - opening time 20 ms/delay 40 ms
  - closing time 10 ms /delay 40 ms
- no issue for conventional irradiation (30 s to 60 s)
- electrostatic kicker
  - opening / closing time : < 1 μs</p>
  - delay ~ 100 μs

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

**EXPERIMENTAL MODE** 

### **Experimental Set-up**

Faraday cup with interlocks, e.g. radiation safety (not in image)

HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

- either mechanical shutter OR electrostatic kicker (not in image)
- a) two ionisation chambers for dose monitoring (>>redundancy)
- b) Advanced Markus chamber in water phantom for absolute dosimetry

OR

sample to be irradiated

### Important:

use of spread out Bragg peak (modulator wheel for 6.4mm) No shoot through with full energy!!

![](_page_31_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODE**

#### Hardware

- Embedded system: sbRIO 9637 from NI
- Ionization chamber 7861 from PTW Freiburg with I/U-Converter DPLCA 200 from FEMTO

#### Software

• LabVIEW from NI

### **Absolute Dosimetry**

 Unidos with Advanced Markus chamber, both from PTW Freiburg (medical device for dose / dose rate )

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Distributed Tasks**

- PC: receives / displays streamed data, generates start signal, saves streamed data in file after start, calculates dose factors
- embedded system:
- ARM CORTEX: communication PC<>FPGA, streaming data every 100 ms
- FPGA: 10kHz sampling rate, all timing tasks and data collection

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin CHARITÉ

### **Timing Issues**

 ideal: instant opening and closing of the beam shutter and no delays

→ instantaneous, rectangular intensity (dose rate) curve

- reality:
  - $t_1 = delay from open command to start opening$
  - $t_2 = opening time$
  - $t_3 = closing command$
  - t<sub>4</sub> = delay from closing command to start closing
  - $t_5 = closing time$
- real signal from ionisation chamber here: fixed time of 500 ms

![](_page_34_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

- **1.** CALIBRATION (setup with absolute dosimetry)
  - 1. set beam intensity to desired dose rate
  - 2. select fixed time window T<sub>Cal</sub> (10ms to 500ms)
  - start Beam Pulse [switch off is given by Time] and measure calibration dose D<sub>cal</sub> (Gy)
- 2. VERIFICATION (setup with absolute dosimetry)
  - 1. set Dose D to applicate (must be smaller than calibration dose)
  - 2. start Beam Pulse [Switch off is given by Dose excess Dose] and measure Dose D (Gy)
  - 3. repeat it (several trial runs for statistics)
- 3. "TREATMENT" (replace water phantom with sample)
  - 1. same dose D as during verification
  - 2. irridiate your samples

Takes only a few minutes

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Safety Measures**

- if beam intensity changes for more than 5%: verification and "treatment" is vetoed
   -> new calibration and verification is necessary
- both ionisation chambers are integrating dose independently: the first chamber reaching the dose equivalent switches off beam
- in case both ionisation chambers fail: after the time used during calibration the beam is switched off

• BUT: no medical device – not yet certified

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

CHARITÉ

Helmholtz

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Scientific results: FLASH irradiation of single mice eyes

- control group: conventional irradiation mode, 0.25 Gy/s, 60 s total irradiation time = 15 Gy, statistically no fluctuations in dose,
- FLASH , dose rate 75 Gy/s , 200ms, 30 trial runs to estimate error:

14.9 Gy with a standard deviation of 0.6%

- first publications with mechanical shutter: G. Kourkafas et al., FLASH proton irradiation set-up with a modulator wheel for a single mouse eye, Medical Physics 48 (2021) 1839-1845
- work in progress with electrostatic shutter

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

WILEY Top Downloaded Article

CHARITÉ

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODE**

#### Dosimetry

- investigating linearity of dosemeters
- development of a transmission ionization chamber which is linear up to 1 kGy/s
- development of a depth profile camera
- development of a Multi-Leaf Faraday Cup, PhD Thesis C. Kunert
- company de.tec.tor licensed the MLFC and made a full certified medical device

center center 690	center 🖉 509	Graph	
roi_size		-30 -20- -20-	300 500 nnn ≻
30		-15-	500 mplitude
2000-		-10-	90
1000		E E Inter	nsity
[ms] [		Gr	aph
100			ig_level
		15-	dth [%]
10		20- trigge	red_%
	Buffer Frame Rate	22-22 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 22 29. profiles mm Profil X A unit	.677
1- path_loaded file ic 8 D'idet lime 2022-09-17	1240, 0 0 0	2500-	nm)
	manual select	dx 1 1500-	2.28 0
new image	es move move	1	
ref_pos_xy_table prefix 99.99/ FLIR	profile_x profile_y 466 286	0	тор

![](_page_38_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Radiation Hardness Tests – External Users**

- beam in air
- quasi DC or pulsed
- 0.1 pA to 10 nA (DC) on target (above: special rad. safety required)
- 0.5 mm < ø < 45 mm
- 25 MeV < E < 68 MeV (rapid: insertion of Al plates)
- on-line-dosimetry with transmission ionisation chamber
- beam shape visualized via 2D-camera
- well suited for radiation hardness tests often in conjunction with tests on in-house <sup>60</sup>Co-source

![](_page_39_Picture_11.jpeg)

2004 parts of the Rosetta electronics irradiated 2014: successful end of hibernation movement sensor of DLR – moved during irradiation

![](_page_39_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **New Target Stations**

- increased demand on beam time
- increased variety of experiments
- beam time not used due to change of experiment set-up
- > new target station dedicated to radiation hardness test: In-Operando-beam line
- → more time for experiment preparation
- collaboration with *Universität* 
  - new target station for minibeams

![](_page_40_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Target Station for Radiation Hardness Tests: In-Operando beamline**

- provide more space for accompanying equipment, e.g. sun simulator
- after irradiation: additional off-line measurements possible samples can stay in control area
- to do:
  - open wall between cyclotron vault and beam line room
  - check for radiation safety
  - enhance system of dose meters
  - perform beam line simulations
  - install beam line
  - install control system
  - commissioning
- applies also for 2<sup>nd</sup> target station with minibeam

![](_page_41_Figure_13.jpeg)

#### **EXTENSION PLANS**

### Proton Minibeam Radiotherapy (pMBRT) - the concept of spatial fractionation

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

Slide by Prof. Dr. Judith Reindl

judith.reindl@unibw.de

der Bundeswehr

HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin Universität K München **EXTENSION PLANS** 

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Proton Mini-Beamline:

- installation of a SARRP (Small Animal Radiation Research Platform)
- generate magnetically focused proton minibeams with
  - a beam size ( $\sigma$ ) of 50  $\mu$ m in vacuum \_\_\_\_
  - a centre-to-centre \_ distance in the mm range
  - current of ~ 1 nA

![](_page_43_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Proton Mini-Beamline:

#### • Beam line simulations performed with BDSIM:

Current Status of MINIBEE – Minibeam Beamline for Preclinical Experiments on Spatial Fractionation in the FLASH Regime A. Rousseti , G. Dollinger, M. Mayerhofer, J. Neubauer, J. Reindl, Universität der Bundeswehr München J. Bundesmann, A. Dittwald, A. Denker , M. Kang, G. Kourkafas, HZB IPAC 2024

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **EXTENSION PLANS**

#### **Installation of New Beamlines: To do**

open wall between cyclotron vault and beam line room

on-going

on-going

- check for radiation safety
- perform beam line simulations
- install beam line
- enhance system of dose meters
- install control system: after excellent experiences with LLRF: EPICS

 commissioning planned for winter 2024 last quadrupole for minibeam coming later

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

der Bundeswehr

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Conclusion

- medical application: more than 4700 patients treated
  - = 10% of the worldwide ocular melanomas irradiated with protons
- lively research and development program

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

- new target stations under construction: In-Operando beam line and MHMBE
- control system for new beam lines: EPICS

## Thank you for your attention!

patient statistics based on the apertures used

![](_page_46_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **Modernization of Accelerator Complex: Ideas**

- use existing building to install a new cyclotron

   → parallel installation to accelerator operation possible
   → adapt existing beam line
- wishlist: about 70 MeV protons, 280 MeV He
  - maintain sharp distal fall-off
  - enhance possibilities for radiobiological research
- experiments with "Cocktail-beams"
  - $\Rightarrow$  140 MeV H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and 280 MeV He<sup>2+</sup>
  - ⇒ same magnetic field, adjust RF frequency

![](_page_47_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **Modernization of Accelerator Complex: Tasks**

- cyclotron:
  - concept study assigned to AIMA development
- building:
  - architect evaluated existing building and estimated modernization costs
  - comparison to costs of new building
- beam line design:
  - investigate necessary changes
- write down research programme:
  - radiation hardness tests
  - radiobiology
  - dosimetry
  - therapy
- autumn 2024: present conceptual design report to directors

![](_page_48_Picture_15.jpeg)

fun fact: new building might be cheaper!

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt + others CHARITÉ PHI Berliner Hochschule Universität München

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **HZB Overview and Research Topics**

- former research reactor BERII, out of operation since 12/2019
- cyclotron
- electron synchrotron BESSY II
- core labs
- ~ 1200 people at two scientific locations

![](_page_49_Picture_8.jpeg)

- photon science
- photovoltaics
- solar fuels / catalysis
- electrochemical energy storage
- quantum and functional materials
- accelerator research

![](_page_49_Picture_15.jpeg)